

ANTHONY NAVRAT
Claimant

AUTO COLLISION COMPLEX

Respondent

UNKNOWN Insurance Carrier

KANSAS WORKERS COMPENSATION FUND

ORDER

ISSUES

FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- (1) The issue raised by claimant is one subject to review on an appeal from a preliminary order. K.S.A. 44-534a.
- (2) The Appeals Board finds that claimant has not established accidental injury arising out of and in the course of his employment and the Order by the Administrative Law Judge should be affirmed.

Claimant testified that he injured his knee when he slipped on some transmission fluid or anti-freeze while performing duties for respondent on Saturday, June 4, 1994. Review of the record convinces the Appeals Board, however, that claimant was not working for respondent on the Saturday in question. Any work he performed that day would have been on his own car. Injury on that date, if any, did not arise from the performance of any duties for the respondent.

WHEREFORE, it is the finding, decision, and order of the Appeals Board that the Order of Administrative Law Judge John D. Clark, dated September 6, 1994, should be, and is hereby, affirmed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this ____ day of September, 1995.

BOARD MEMBER

BOARD MEMBER

BOARD MEMBER

c: Paul D. Hogan, Wichita, Kansas
Wallace Davis, El Dorado, Kansas
Scott J. Mann, Hutchinson, Kansas
John D. Clark, Administrative Law Judge
Philip S. Harness, Director